

1 **Optimizing Regulatory Frameworks for Gene Therapies in Rare Diseases: Challenges and**
2 **Solutions**

3
4
5
6
7 **Authors:**

8
9 Diane Berry, PhD¹, Kate Donigan, PhD², Lisa Kahlman, JD³, James Long, PharmD⁴, Christina
10 Markus, JD⁵, Caitlin K McCombs⁶

- 11 1. Executive Vice President, Chief Global Policy & Advocacy Officer, Sarepta Therapeutics
12 Inc., Cambridge, Massachusetts, USA
- 13 2. Senior Director, Science and Regulatory Policy, Sarepta Therapeutics Inc., Cambridge,
14 Massachusetts, USA
- 15 3. Executive Director, Public Policy and Public Affairs, Ultragenyx Pharmaceutical Inc.,
16 Novato, California, USA
- 17 4. Director, Clinical Pharmacy Services, DK Pierce, Zionsville, Indiana, USA
- 18
19 5. Partner, FDA and Life Sciences Practice, King & Spalding, Washington D.C., USA
- 20
21 6. Senior Advocacy Program Specialist, American Society of Gene and Cell Therapy,
22 Waukesha, Wisconsin, USA

23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37 Correspondence should be addressed to C.K.M. (cmccombs@asgct.org)

38 **Abstract**

39 The advent of genetic medicines and advanced diagnostics has revolutionized the treatment
40 landscape for rare diseases, and with over 10,000 identified conditions affecting millions
41 globally, has the potential to improve many lives. Despite this progress, only 5% of rare
42 diseases have FDA-approved therapies, highlighting a significant unmet need. This article
43 examines the critical need for optimizing the regulatory environment to support the development
44 and approval of gene therapies for rare and ultrarare diseases, which often face unique
45 challenges due to their complexity in the midst of a rapidly evolving field. Key issues discussed
46 include the mismatch between traditional regulatory paradigms and the nature of gene
47 therapies, the need for innovative clinical trial designs, and the importance of flexible
48 manufacturing processes. The article proposes targeted reforms to align regulatory frameworks
49 with the needs of rare disease patients and the pace of science, emphasizing the value of a
50 holistic evidence approach, platform technologies, and iterative manufacturing evaluations. By
51 addressing these challenges, we can accelerate the development of life-changing therapies in
52 order to realize the opportunity to provide treatments to patients with rare genetic disorders in
53 their lifetime.

54 **Introduction**

55 With over 10,000 identified rare diseases affecting millions globally, innovative gene therapies
56 hold significant promise.^{1,2} However, the unmet need in rare disease is significant and urgent,
57 as many of these diseases are severe, progressively debilitating and often fatal, and only 5% of
58 rare diseases have some FDA-approved therapy.³ Fortunately, the rise in disease identification
59 is occurring in parallel with rapid scientific advances in our ability to treat and possibly cure
60 disorders down to the rarest patient populations. Precision genetic medicine can address root
61 causes of serious genetic diseases and offer the potential to alter disease trajectories and
62 improve lives.

63 The journey from scientific breakthrough to patient bedside offers unique challenges, particularly
64 in the context of regulatory oversight. This article examines the critical need to optimize the
65 regulatory environment to foster the development and approval of gene therapies for rare and
66 “ultrarare” diseases (a term not formally defined in the US but used to refer to conditions with
67 extremely low prevalence).

68 At the heart of the issue lies a fundamental mismatch between traditional regulatory paradigms
69 and the distinctive nature of rare disease gene therapies. Clinical trial design, endpoint
70 selection, and manufacturing processes for these therapies often require the use of non-
71 traditional approaches. The rarity of these conditions, combined with their heterogeneous and
72 often progressive nature, necessitates modern, nimble strategies to demonstrate and evaluate
73 safety and efficacy. Moreover, the sheer number of rare diseases and the associated
74 complexities further compound these challenges.

75 While coverage and reimbursement are also critical access barriers,⁴ this article proposes a
76 series of targeted reforms aimed at aligning regulatory frameworks with the unique
77 characteristics of gene therapies and the urgent needs of rare disease patients. The goal is to

78 evolve our regulatory thinking to match the pace of scientific advancement. By advocating for a
79 more holistic consideration of evidence, leveraging platform technologies, and promoting
80 flexible, iterative approaches to manufacturing and control requirements, we can create a more
81 conducive environment for rare disease gene therapy development and offer new hope to
82 millions of patients worldwide who currently lack effective treatment options.

83 Although many of the challenges (e.g., few patients, heterogeneous disease presentations, and
84 geographically dispersed populations) are well recognized to affect gene, cell, and other
85 therapies for rare diseases alike, the focus herein is on gene therapies. This article illustrates
86 unique barriers, highlights the need for broad-thinking solutions, and encourages awareness
87 and ongoing advocacy to modernize multiple facets of regulation to more fully realize true
88 patient benefit.

89 **Optimizing the Regulatory Environment**

90 “Rare disease” prevalence spans a wide range; in the US, it is defined as a disease that
91 impacts anywhere from a single patient up to 200,000 individuals out of the national
92 population. Challenges in developing treatments for rare diseases – which are widely
93 documented⁵ and include disease heterogeneity, lack of prognostic factors, small numbers of
94 patients available for clinical studies, often severe and progressive diseases with a lack of timely
95 clinical endpoints for measurement, lack of prospective natural history data, etc. – are likely to
96 be exacerbated in the context of ultrarare diseases. That lengthens timelines and increases
97 costs, ultimately threatening the short- and long-term commercial viability of these programs. To

98 guard against that outcome and support development of therapies for the increasing number of
99 rare and ultrarare diseases, it is critical to address the following challenges:

100 *Clinical Trial Design and Endpoints* - Rare disease patient populations can be a poor fit
101 for the traditional, double-blind, randomized, controlled trial paradigm. Extremely small,
102 heterogeneous patient populations complicate randomization and the analysis of small
103 placebo-controlled studies. The use of placebos, particularly in the case of irreversibly
104 progressive diseases, can be unethical and discourages patients from enrolling in
105 clinical studies. Further, most endpoints in rare disease are novel, and there is little data
106 to support prioritizing one endpoint over another for purposes of statistical analysis. FDA
107 continues to express preferences for traditional trial designs and, in some cases, has set
108 criteria for the use of external controls that are too strict to be practicable. That
109 preference appears even in formal guidance for rare diseases, where arguably external
110 controls may be the most beneficial.^{6,7}

111 *Accelerated Approval (AA)* – For certain rare diseases, particularly those that progress
112 more slowly than can be assessed on clinical endpoints in a typical clinical trial
113 timeframe, the carefully considered use of AA may be the only feasible way to advance
114 any treatment. However, there remains uncertainty regarding acceptability of surrogate
115 endpoints. Even when FDA permits the use of the AA pathway, there is often an
116 expectation to establish a quantitative correlation between the surrogate endpoint and
117 clinical benefit for the purpose of granting AA, which is at odds with the “reasonably
118 likely to predict clinical benefit” standard and the post approval requirement to
119 subsequently confirm that the surrogate endpoint predicts clinical benefit. This likely
120 means that some drugs that are safe and effective are not getting to patients promptly,
121 inconsistent with the intent of the pathway.

122 *Chemistry, Manufacturing, and Controls* – Manufacturing gene therapies is different from

123 traditional pharmaceutical processes. It is complex, highly dynamic, and requires
124 continued innovation throughout a product's lifecycle. This is particularly true for rare
125 diseases, where product-specific knowledge will continue to evolve as more patients are
126 treated over time. Requiring sponsors to cement their manufacturing processes prior to
127 clinical investigation, or potentially requiring the submission of a new investigational new
128 drug application (IND) when significant manufacturing improvements are made (as
129 stated in FDA guidance),⁸ does not support continuous product improvement that
130 ultimately benefits patients.

131 *Rare Disease Expertise* – While FDA has rare disease experts on staff, given the sheer
132 number of rare diseases, FDA cannot be expected to have experts in every rare disease
133 uniformly distributed across the Agency. FDA rare disease experts are not always
134 consulted in rare disease product reviews, and FDA lacks a nimble mechanism to
135 consult with external disease experts throughout the review process. The Advisory
136 Committee process and its associated conflict of interest policies pose challenges, as
137 there may only be a handful of available experts for a given rare disease.

138 Fortunately, the existing regulatory framework in the U.S. provides tools and flexibilities to
139 overcome the complexities of rare disease drug development, including regulations allowing
140 FDA to exercise the broadest flexibility concerning new therapies intended to treat life-
141 threatening and severely debilitating illnesses.⁹ However, variable implementation of this
142 framework has created regulatory uncertainty.

143 To FDA’s credit, the Agency has initiated pilot efforts to support the development of rare disease
144 therapies. The Rare Disease Endpoint Advancement (RDEA) Program¹⁰ is focused on rare
145 disease clinical endpoints, and the Support for clinical Trials Advancing Rare disease
146 Therapeutics (START) Program¹¹ provides enhanced communication between FDA and
147 selected sponsors for rare disease therapeutics. FDA also supports development of
148 individualized therapies through the Bespoke Gene Therapy Consortium,¹² a public-private
149 partnership focused on eight specific diseases. Most recently, FDA’s Center for Biologics
150 Evaluation and Research (CBER) and Center for Drug Evaluation and Research (CDER)
151 announced a new rare disease innovation hub¹³ to expedite treatments. These efforts combined
152 represent a welcome acknowledgement by FDA of the challenges in rare disease drug
153 development and send a strong signal external and internal to FDA of the urgency and
154 importance of developing and approving medicines for rare disease patients. It will be important
155 to see if these focused efforts can be scaled to deliver tangible, broad, lasting change needed to
156 improve patient outcomes as intended.

157 Congress and other stakeholders, including ultrarare patient communities, have also taken note
158 of the challenges. In response, they have called for additional regulatory reform, such as the
159 creation of a new regulatory pathway. Careful consideration of such proposals will be needed to
160 evaluate whether they will in fact bring improvement or unintentionally create other hurdles that
161 impede patient access.

162 What is clear is that as of 2023, CBER had over 2,500 active INDs for cell and gene therapies,
163 necessitating broadly applicable and sustainable solutions to not delay safe and effective
164 therapies from reaching patients. Fortunately, gene therapies have unique characteristics that
165 can be leveraged to support meaningful, near-term actions:

166 *Give Full Consideration to the Totality of Evidence in Rare Disease – Clinical*
167 *development in rare/ultrarare diseases is challenged by small, often heterogeneous,*

168 patient populations. Additionally, the novelty of clinical endpoints can render ranking of
169 endpoints effectively random. It is critical to consider the totality of evidence by
170 leveraging all possible data sources, including biomarkers, comparison to natural history,
171 and real-world evidence in a consistent and predictable manner. A totality of evidence
172 approach and novel statistical methods is particularly critical for small, heterogeneous
173 patient populations where the risks are greater of missing a primary endpoint and
174 making a Type 2 error - not approving a drug that is in fact, effective. New regulatory
175 pathways have been proposed for ultrarare therapy development which emphasize the
176 importance of considering all available scientifically valid evidence, including mechanistic
177 information, real-world data, and comparative studies, to determine if a therapy's
178 potential benefits outweigh its risks.¹⁴

179 *Fully Leverage the Mechanism of Action of Gene Therapies to Support Approval – FDA*
180 currently accepts surrogate endpoints and biomarkers for use in a particular
181 drug/biologic development program on a case-by-case basis. This approach does not
182 provide the much-needed regulatory certainty for the successful development of gene
183 therapies for rare diseases. The mechanistic rationale underlying many gene therapies,
184 which replace defective or missing proteins with functional ones, supports the use of
185 protein expression as a robust surrogate endpoint reasonably likely to predict clinical
186 benefit. For monogenic diseases where gene therapy addresses the root cause, protein
187 expression is an upstream biomarker on the disease's causal pathway that may provide
188 a more reliable and timely outcome measure than downstream clinical endpoints. In
189 such cases, protein expression at a minimum threshold that is supported by nonclinical
190 data should generally be considered sufficient as the basis for approval. This approach
191 would align with the intent of the AA pathway, by fully leveraging current science to
192 speed access to safe and effective therapies while continuing to gather long-term
193 clinical data post-approval. In their forthcoming guidance,¹⁵ FDA should provide clarity

194 on the characteristics of acceptable surrogate endpoints for monogenic rare disease
195 gene therapies and ensure that the evidentiary standards for AA that reviewers apply
196 align with the statutory 'reasonably likely' criterion, rather than imposing standards akin
197 to traditional approval.

198 *Maximize the Use of Platform Approaches for Gene Therapy Development* – The
199 fundamental principle of a platform approach to development, first legislated in the 21st
200 Century Cures Act in 2016¹⁶ and expanded in the Food and Drug Omnibus Reform Act
201 of 2022,¹⁷ involves leveraging a technology across multiple therapeutic products. For
202 gene therapies, multiple products may share the same vector backbone that contains
203 product-specific transgene inserts, making gene therapies ideal for a platform approach.
204 This type of shared element enables leveraging data and processes across products,
205 generating efficiencies that will support the development of products for ultrarare
206 diseases that would not otherwise be commercially viable. FDA has recently taken
207 action in this space, releasing a draft guidance implementing the Platform Technologies
208 Designation Program¹⁸ and the Advanced Manufacturing Technologies Designation
209 Program (AMTDP).¹⁹ Platform approaches should be considered across the product
210 lifecycle, and potentially across multiple sponsors, to achieve maximum efficiency.

211 *Enable Iterative Approaches to CMC Requirements* – In the context of gene therapy,
212 process improvements are occurring at a higher frequency than other modalities due to
213 rapidly evolving technology in this area. Sponsors should be encouraged to continually
214 improve their manufacturing processes as more experience is gained to ensure the best
215 possible product is delivered to patients. This can be achieved through a risk-based,
216 phase-appropriate iterative evaluation of CMC requirements, considering such factors
217 as ~~disease severity~~, rarity and unmet need, stage of development, and prior knowledge
218 from related manufacturing processes. FDA should work to further incorporate these

219 considerations into their decision making and integrate current risk management
220 guidance to streamline expectations for sponsors. This includes recognizing that assays
221 critical in late-stage development may not be possible or appropriate in early clinical
222 development due to assay complexity, small sample sizes, and limited mechanistic
223 understanding.

224 *Engage in Externally-Led Scientific Workshops Focused on Rare Diseases – Rare*
225 *disease drug development and review must be anchored in the latest scientific and*
226 *clinical understanding of disease. This can be achieved through multi-stakeholder*
227 *scientific engagements with industry, FDA, and clinical and patient communities to*
228 *support sharing of disease expertise. Recent externally led scientific workshops focused*
229 *on Limb Girdle Muscular Dystrophy (LGMD)²⁰ and neuronopathic*
230 *mucopolysaccharidoses (MPS)²¹ provided a venue for scientific exchange between*
231 *experts and regulators and may be viewed as a model for this type of engagement.*

232 **Conclusion**

233 The development of gene therapies for rare and ultrarare diseases presents unique regulatory
234 issues but also provides opportunities for innovative solutions. This article has highlighted key
235 challenges in clinical trial design, endpoint selection, the AA pathway, and manufacturing
236 processes. By implementing the proposed reforms, we can create a regulatory environment that
237 appropriately applies scientific rigor, maximally leveraging the latest scientific advances to
238 respond to the urgent needs of rare disease patients. This approach will foster innovation,
239 accelerate development timelines, and ultimately increase the number of potentially life-
240 changing treatments for millions of patients worldwide who currently lack effective options.

241 **Acknowledgements**

242 Investigation and drafting provided by employees of DK Pierce (Emma Selm-Keck; Miles
243 Spenos). Conceptualization and review were provided by additional members of ASGCT's
244 Government Relations Committee. Review and administrative support were provided by
245 ASGCT's Senior Manager of Government Affairs, Christina Mayer. This article has no funding
246 sources to disclose.

247

248 **Author Contributions**

249 Conceptualization, writing, review, and editing: D.B., K.D., L.K., J.L.; writing support, review, and
250 editing: C.M., C.W.; Project administration: C.K.M.

251

252 **Declaration of Interests / Conflicts of Interest**

253 D.B. is an employee and shareholder of Sarepta Therapeutics. K.D. is an employee and
254 shareholder of Sarepta Therapeutics. L.K. is an employee of Ultragenyx Pharmaceuticals. J.L. is
255 an employee of D.K. Pierce. C.M. is an employee of King and Spalding. C.K.M. is an employee
256 of the American Society of Gene and Cell Therapy.

257

258 **Key Words**

259 Rare disease; Gene therapy (GTx); Regulatory reform; Clinical trial design; Accelerated
260 approval (AA); Chemistry, manufacturing, and controls (CMC); Patient access

261

262 **References**

263 1. Haendel, M., Vasilevsky, N., Unni, D., Bologna, C., Harris, N., Rehm, H., Hamosh, A.,
264 Baynam, G., Groza, T., McMurry, J., et. al. (2020 February). How many rare diseases
265 are there? Nat. Rev. Drug. Discov. 19(2), 77-78. [https://doi.org/10.1038/d41573-019-](https://doi.org/10.1038/d41573-019-00180-y)
266 [00180-y](https://doi.org/10.1038/d41573-019-00180-y)

- 267
268
269
270
271
272
273
274
275
276
277
278
279
280
281
282
283
284
285
286
287
288
2. Global Genes (2024). RARE Disease Facts. <https://globalgenes.org/rare-facts/>
 3. Rutter, J. (2024 February). National Institutes of Health: Communicating About Rare Diseases. <https://www.nih.gov/about-nih/what-we-do/science-health-public-trust/perspectives/communicating-about-rare-diseases>
 4. Berry, D., Donigan, K., Kahlman, L., Long, J., Markus, C., McCombs, C. (2024). Ensuring Patient Access to Gene Therapies for Rare Diseases: Navigating Reimbursement and Coverage Challenges. *Mol. Ther. Methods. Clin. Dev.* [In Print]
 5. Chirmule, N., Feng, H., Cyril, E., Ghalsasi, V., Choudhury, M. (2024). Orphan drug development: Challenges, regulation, and success stories. *J. Biosci.* 49(30). <https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/38383975/>
 6. FDA (2023 December). Rare Diseases: Considerations for the Development of Drugs and Biological Products [Guidance for Industry]. <https://www.fda.gov/media/119757/download>
 7. FDA (2023 February). Considerations for the Design and Conduct of Externally Controlled Trials for Drug and Biological Products [Draft Guidance for Industry] <https://www.fda.gov/media/164960/download>
 8. FDA (2023 July). Manufacturing Changes and Comparability for Human Cellular and Gene Therapy Products [Draft Guidance for Industry]. <https://www.fda.gov/media/170198/download>
 9. FDA (2023). Code of Federal Regulations. 21 CFR § 312.80 <https://www.govinfo.gov/content/pkg/CFR-2023-title21-vol5/pdf/CFR-2023-title21-vol5-sec312-80.pdf>

- 289 10. FDA (2024). Rare Disease Endpoints Advancement (RDEA) Pilot Program.
290 [https://www.fda.gov/drugs/development-resources/rare-disease-endpoint-advancement-](https://www.fda.gov/drugs/development-resources/rare-disease-endpoint-advancement-pilot-program)
291 [pilot-program](https://www.fda.gov/drugs/development-resources/rare-disease-endpoint-advancement-pilot-program)
- 292 11. FDA (2024). Support for clinical Trials Advancing Rare disease Therapeutics (START)
293 Pilot Program. [https://www.fda.gov/science-research/clinical-trials-and-human-subject-](https://www.fda.gov/science-research/clinical-trials-and-human-subject-protection/support-clinical-trials-advancing-rare-disease-therapeutics-start-pilot-program)
294 [protection/support-clinical-trials-advancing-rare-disease-therapeutics-start-pilot-program](https://www.fda.gov/science-research/clinical-trials-and-human-subject-protection/support-clinical-trials-advancing-rare-disease-therapeutics-start-pilot-program)
- 295 12. National Center for Advancing Translational Sciences (2024). Accelerating Medicines
296 Partnership – Bespoke Gene Therapy Consortium (AMP-BGTC).
297 <https://ncats.nih.gov/research/research-activities/BGTC>
- 298 13. Cavazzoni, P., Marks, P. (2024). FDA Rare Disease Innovation Hub to Enhance and
299 Advance Outcomes for Patients. [https://www.fda.gov/news-events/fda-voices/fda-rare-](https://www.fda.gov/news-events/fda-voices/fda-rare-disease-innovation-hub-enhance-and-advance-outcomes-patients)
300 [disease-innovation-hub-enhance-and-advance-outcomes-patients](https://www.fda.gov/news-events/fda-voices/fda-rare-disease-innovation-hub-enhance-and-advance-outcomes-patients)
- 301 14. Usdin, S. (2024, May 31). Debating new pathways for ultrarare: Woodcock, patient
302 advocates, biotech leaders call for reforms.
303 <https://www.biocentury.com/article/652564/debating-a-new-pathway-for-ultrarare>
- 304 15. FDA Center for Biologics Evaluation and Research (2024 July). Guidance Agenda:
305 Guidance Documents CBER is Planning to Publish During Calendar Year 2024.
306 <https://www.fda.gov/media/120341/download>
- 307 16. US Congress (2016). 21st Century Cures Act [Public Law No. 114-255].
308 <https://www.govinfo.gov/app/details/PLAW-114publ255>
- 309 17. US Congress (2023). Consolidated Appropriations Act [Public Law No. 117-328].
310 <https://www.govinfo.gov/app/details/PLAW-117publ328>
- 311 18. FDA (2024 May). Platform Technology Designation Program for Drug Development
312 [Draft Guidance for Industry]. <https://www.fda.gov/media/178938/download>
- 313 19. FDA (2024 February). Advanced Manufacturing Technologies Designation Program;
314 Draft Guidance for Industry [Draft Guidance for Industry].
315 <https://www.fda.gov/media/174651/download>
- 316 20. The Speak Foundation (2024 February). LGMD Scientific Workshop.
317 <https://thespeakfoundation.com/scientific-workshop>
- 318 21. Reagan-Udall Foundation (2024 February). Qualifying Biomarkers to Support Rare
319 Disease Regulatory Pathways. [https://reaganudall.org/news-and-](https://reaganudall.org/news-and-events/events/qualifying-biomarkers-support-rare-disease-regulatory-pathways)
320 [events/events/qualifying-biomarkers-support-rare-disease-regulatory-pathways](https://reaganudall.org/news-and-events/events/qualifying-biomarkers-support-rare-disease-regulatory-pathways)